



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/483,883	01/18/2000	Mitsunobu Ono	P/16-251	8978
75	90 04/14/2003			
Steven I Weisburd Ostrolenk Faber Gerb & Soffen LLP 1180 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			AN, SHAWN S	
New YORK, NY 10036-8403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

4

(ĺ	d	,

Application No.

09/483,883

Applicant(s)

Mitsunobu Ono et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Shawn An

Art Unit 2613

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	n the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus:	ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 				
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 2</u> ,	2002			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>4-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢 Claims <u>4-12</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.			
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application No			
application from the International Bu				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	·			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	•			
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisio				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/483,883

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instructions in Paper 8 as filed on 12/2/03, claims 1-3 have been canceled, claims 4-10 have been amended, and claims 11-12 have been newly added.

Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the election/restriction.

Election/Restriction

3. This application now contains amended claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: four distinct embodiments as depicted in figures 1, (8-9), (10-11), and (12-13), respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed specie on the basis of the corresponding figures listed above, and to indicate to the Examiner which of the claims 4-12 read on the elected figures of the disclosed specie for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Art Unit: 2613

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number (703) 305-0099 and schedule are Tuesday-Friday (Monday off).

SHAWN S. AN PATENT EXAMINER

SSA

April 9, 2003